

Austin's weekly newsletter for key information on business, politics and government

Ken Martin, Editor

October 4, 1995

**Priorities First! blasts** 'Statesman' coverage

Firebirds outspending opposition by nearly 6-1 for Oct. 7 election

## **Battle of Bucks**

Here's what the opposing forces had raised and spent on the bond election through Sept. 27:

SUPPORTERS OF THE PARK ON THE COLORADO:

Total contributions: \$268,229 All contributions were made by Professional Sports Inc., dba **Phoenix Firebirds** 

**Total expenditures:** \$229,505 Major expenses were:

Weeks-Correa & Co. fees for consulting & video: \$90,945 Texas Research for identifying and phoning voters: \$46,500 **Dolphin Productions fees for** printing, mailing: \$27,000 U.S. Post Office: \$23,000 J.R. Gonzales Co. fee for buying Spanish media: \$15,000 Montgomery & Associates fee for consulting: \$12,500

John Barr fee for contract services: \$2,500 **Edelen Communications fee for** 

PRIORITIES FIRST!:

consulting:

**Total contributions:** \$45,463 **Taxpayers Defense Fund:** 

\$45,000

\$2,000

Jeffery Heckler: \$223 George Humphrey: \$100 M.H. Crockett Properties: \$100 Total expenditures: \$44,073

Major expenses were:

**Emory Young & Associates for** phone banks: \$27,000 **C&E** Communications for radio spots: \$11,990

Ace Printing yard signs: \$1,800 Austin Chronicle ad:

Source: Committee reports

The Austin American-Statesman gave front-page coverage to the fact that the people who want to bring a Double-A franchise to the Cedar Park area contributed money to the Taxpayers Defense Fund, which then gave it to Priorities First! to oppose passage of \$10 million in bonds to fund the city's portion of a new stadium for the **Phoenix Firebirds**. The *Statesman*'s editorials have crowed about the matter as well, and the daily's columnists had a field day, with the tsk, tsk, routine.

Priorities First! returned the favor at a press conference late last Friday afternoon perfect timing for the evening television news. The coalition members stood in the white-hot circle of TV cameras and slammed the Statesman and another corporate sponsor, KLBJ radio, for alleged bias. Priorities First! leader Jack Haden criticized the Statesman for "burying" the day's "big story" on page C4 in the sports section, regarding the defeat of \$325 million in bonds for a new stadium in Seattle. Haden also complained that the Statesman has been quoting sources who were Firebird sponsors without identifying the sources' conflicts of interest. Linda Curtis of Priorities First! said she was "livid" about the Statesman threatening a lawsuit to get the coalition's radio advertisement yanked, and with KLBJ's talk radio host Paul Pryor saying he hadn't been able to reach Priorities First!. Curtis said, "KLBJ and the Statesman wouldn't know a grass-roots campaign if it bit them on the butt."

Priorities First! accepted \$45,000 from the Taxpayers Defense Fund, money which came from Bill Pohl, who has sought to bring a Double-A team from Shreveport. Far from being ashamed, Haden said he was "pleased" as punch the coalition had money to wage a campaign. At least the money was local, Haden reasoned, whereas every cent of the \$268,000 contributed to get a 'yes' vote came from the Phoenix-based Firebirds. Haden vowed that Priorities First! would be just as quick to oppose a government subsidy for any other team, Pohl's included. "What the Statesman doesn't get...is this is a voter rebellion, and it's going on all over the country," Curtis said.

For all the Statesman's breathless editorializing about where Priorities First! funds are coming from, there's nothing unusual about this election, as anyone who's covered politics for any length of time could attest. Darn near every bond election is proposed and pushed hard by those who stand to gain from it, and darn near every dollar put up to oppose the passage of bonds comes from those who stand to lose, be it angry taxpayers or competing economic interests. That is not to say the Statesman should not have reported the facts. But as a corporate sponsor of the baseball initiative, and as a journalistic enterprise that should be sophisticated enough to know how the world of politics works, the Statesman's gloating editorials and columns reeked of self interest. Any worthwhile journalistic enterprise, certainly a metropolitan daily newspaper, would agree that a politician who has an economic interest in an enterprise should not take part in the public debate about that enterprise or vote in matters concerning it. Yet the *Statesman* seems incapable of understanding why its coverage of the stadium election is inherently biased by the fact that the Statesman is a corporate sponsor. It's the integrity, stupid. \*