CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-15-002291

BRIAN RODGERS * IN THE DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff

*

v. * TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

*

THE CITY OF AUSTIN

Defendant * 98TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORAL DEPOSITION OF SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III

August 26, 2015

BE IT REMEMBERED that the oral deposition of SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III was reported by Lydia L. Edwards, Texas CSR 2567, by machine shorthand on August 26, 2015, at Austin City Hall, located at 301 West 2nd Street, Fourth Floor Conference Room, Austin, Texas, between the times of 9:22 o'clock a.m. and 12:48 o'clock p.m., and taken pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, unless otherwise agreed to by counsel, and after which time was set forth as follows:

		2
1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	AleshireLAW, P.C.	
	By: Bill Aleshire	
4	700 Lavaca, Suite 1400	
	Austin, Texas 78701	
5	512.320.9155	
	512.320.9156 Fax	
6	bill@aleshirelaw.com	
7	FOR THE PLAINTIFF	
8		
9	CITY OF AUSTIN LAW DEPARTMENT	
	By: Christopher Coppola	
10	301 West 2nd Street	
	Fourth Floor	
11	Austin, Texas 78701	
	512.974.2161	
12	512.974.1311 Fax	
	christopher.coppola@austintexas.gov	
13		
	FOR THE DEFENDANT	
14		
15		
	Brian Rodgers	
16		
	ALSO PRESENT	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Santos Eloy Bosque, III August 26, 2015

		3
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		
	APPEARANCES 2	
3		
4	STIPULATIONS 1	
4	INDEX OF EXHIBITS 4	
5	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
	SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III	
6	Examination by Mr. Aleshire 5	
	Examination by Mr. Coppola 113	
7	Further Examination by Mr. Aleshire 115	
8	DEPOSITION CORRECTION PAGE 121	
9	WITNESS SIGNATURE PAGE 122	
10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE PAGE 123	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Santos Eloy Bosque, III August 26, 2015

1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	4
2	DESCRIPTION MARKED IDENTIFIED	
3		
4 5	Del Bosque Exhibit No. 1A 4-23-15 email 5 75 Del Bosque Exhibit No. 1B	
6	Workflow Notes 5 76	
7	Del Bosque Exhibit No. 2A 4-13-15 Letter 5 86	
8	Del Bosque Exhibit No. 2B 3-16-15 email 5 85	
9	Del Bosque Exhibit No. 2C	
10 11	Workflow Notes 5 86 Del Bosque Exhibit No. 3A	
12	4-13-15 Letter 5 97	
13	Del Bosque Exhibit No. 3B 3-16-15 Letter 5 97	
14	Del Bosque Exhibit No. 3C Workflow Notes 5 97	
15	Del Bosque Exhibit No. 4A	
16 17	4-13-15 Letter 5 100 Del Bosque Exhibit No. 4B	
18	3-19-15 Letter 5 100	
19	Del Bosque Exhibit No. 4C Workflow Notes 5 100	
20	Del Bosque Exhibit No. 5 Deposition Notice 5 5	
21	Del Bosque Exhibit No. 6	
22 23	PIR Training Document 59 59 Del Bosque Exhibit No. 7	
24 25	Austin Monitor Article 63 63	

5 (Before the deposition commenced, 11 1 instruments were marked for identification 2 3 as Del Bosque Exhibits Nos. 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4A, 4B, 4C and 5.) 4 5 SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE was called as a witness for the Plaintiff and, after 6 7 having been duly sworn to tell the truth, testified as 8 follows: 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. ALESHIRE: 11 Q. Good morning. Would you state your full name, 12 please? 13 Α. Santos Eloy Del Bosque III. 14 Mr. Del Bosque, I'm Bill Aleshire. Q. I'm the 15 Plaintiff [sic] for Brian Rodgers who is the Plaintiff 16 in a lawsuit against the City, and you are here 17 testifying in that lawsuit. Do you understand that? 18 Α. Yes, sir. 19 Q. All right. I'm going to hand you what has been 20 marked as Exhibit 5 and ask you if you recognize that 21 document. 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 Q. And is that the notice of deposition that 24 brings you here today? 25 Yes, sir. Α.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. Have you had your deposition taken before?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Have you ever testified in court?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. As you just noticed, you're under oath here?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The reporter is going to take down everything anyone says during the deposition, and so it's real important that we don't talk over each other. So if you will, please, allow me to finish my question before you begin your answer, and I'll try real hard to make sure I'm not asking you question while you're still answering, okay?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. The other thing is that she can't take down our head nods, and we do need to try to use words like "yes" or "no" as opposed to "uh-huh" because you can't tell on the transcript whether that's uh-huh or huh-uh.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And also be careful. I don't know how fast you usually speak, but don't speak too fast. It will burn her fingers up.

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. Your attorney is entitled to make certain objections during the deposition if he sees fit, and so don't be too surprised. I'm also allowed to make an objection if I think your answer is not responsive to the question.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And do try to let me finish commenting before you speak, just for the sake of the transcript.

And I'm going to ask you, before you begin to answer a question, if you don't understand it, please let us know that and -- otherwise we're going to assume that you understood the question when you provided an answer, okay?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Thank you. And we've got a lot to cover. So we may need to get a break somewhere in between, and if at any time you need a break, just raise your hand or signal or something. I'll be glad to do that as long as you finish the answer to the question that's on the table at the time.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Did you do anything to prepare for your deposition today?
- A. I met with Mr. Coppola.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

- A. He was going over methodology that his group does -- or uses in order to search council emails.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to get to the issue about how PIRs are searched a little later. But just generally what does the Communication and Technology Management Group do? Is there a particular segment of PIRs processing that they take care of, or are they involved in all PIRs?
- A. Dean Merritt is with the CTM $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ may I call them that or $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$
- Q. Sure. CTM is for Communication/Technology Management?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay.
- A. -- security group. They provide email searches for city council email searches or requests.
- Q. So if records that councilmembers might have need to be searched, CTM does that?
 - A. Yes, sir, his group only.
 - Q. Okay. What about --
- 21 A. That's only --
 - Q. Sorry. Go ahead.
 - A. And they only search emails.
- Q. Okay. What if documents are requested other than emails from councilmembers? Does CMT [sic] search

1 for those? 2 A. N

3

5

6

7

9

20

21

22

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you know who does?
- 4 A. City council single point of contacts.
 - Q. Okay. Single point of contact, SPOC?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. How long did your conversation with

8 Mr. Merritt last?

- A. 45 minutes to an hour.
- 10 Q. Okay. And it was yesterday?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. Oh, when was it?
- 13 A. Approximately two weeks ago.
- Q. Okay. And, more specifically, what topics did you talk to him about? You were talking about council searches?
- A. We mostly spoke about CTM security's
 methodology, their inner workings of how they went
 through their searches.
 - Q. Okay. And so you'll be able to ask -- answer questions today about how that process works?
 - A. In general terms, yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Did you review any documents to prepare for this deposition?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. What?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. I reviewed this (indicating).
- Q. Exhibit 5?
 - A. Deposition 5 and then interrogatories.
 - Q. Okay. And when you say "interrogatories," do you mean the City's response to the Plaintiff's request for interrogatories?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Did you help provide answers to the interrogatories?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. I don't have an extra copy with me, but I do have the City's response to Plaintiff's first request for interrogatories, and they -- interrogatory answers for 7 is verified by Sarah Hensley, 8 by Terry Nicholson and 6 by Sue Edwards; but I don't see any verification for any of the other answers. Did you provide the answers to any of those interrogatories?
 - A. I was asked questions about the interrogatories. So that could mean I'm just answering the question.
- MR. COPPOLA: Do you know if we can go off the record for a moment?
- MR. ALESHIRE: Yes. We'll go off just for a moment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

21

(OFF THE RECORD)

- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) During our break, I was shown a copy of the verification that you signed for Plaintiff's interrogatories 1 through 5 and 9 and 10. I don't think we need to mark it as an exhibit, but I want to show it to you and just to refresh your recollection.
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. So is it correct to say that you are verifying the answer that the City gave to those Interrogatories 1 through 5, 9 and 10?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Other than the interrogatories, did you review any other documents to prepare for your deposition?
- 15 A. I looked at one of the PIRs.
- Q. Which one?
 - A. Bull Creek.
- Q. Okay. And this Bull Creek is a request for correspondence about the potential purchase of Bull Creek property?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now, there was also a request for PIR

 Team processing logs for that request. Did you look at
 that as well?
- 25 A. No, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

21

22

- Q. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Del Bosque, what is your title -- job title with the City of Austin?
 - A. Public information manager.
- Q. And have -- is that the only job title you've had since you've worked for the City?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. What other job title did you have, and when did it change?
- 9 A. Administrative specialist. It changed about
 10 September of 2014. I was named acting public
 11 information manager.
- 12 Q. In September of '14?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Okay.
 - A. And then it changed again December of 2014 to public information manager.
- Q. Okay. And in the job you had before as an administrative specialist, was it in the public information area?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And was that the first job that you had with the City?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And when did you start that job?
- 25 A. December 30th, 2013.

- Information Act in some way other than review public information? Did it change --
 - A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

- Q. Did not?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. Had you -- with any of the companies you've worked for before you came to the City of Austin, were you in a position where you needed to receive or help process public information requests?
- 10 A. When you mean -- explain "receive."
- Q. Well, like you do now, you receive public information requests from the public on behalf of your employer --
- 14 A. No, sir.
 - Q. -- and you help process them. In any of your prior jobs before you came to the City, did you have that as one of your job functions?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. So is it correct that as of

 December 30th, 2013 when you went to work for the City

 that was your -- beginning of your first experience in

 processing public information requests --
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. -- under the TPIA, I mean?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. Okay. Thank you. How did you find out about 2 the administrative specialist position with the City? 3 MR. COPPOLA: I'm just going to again make 4 a notation on the record that these sorts of questions 5 are not within Mr. Del Bosque's designation, and he is speaking from his own personal knowledge at this point. 6 7 MR. ALESHIRE: Understood. THE WITNESS: Ask again. 8 9 Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) The question again? 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 How did you find out about the administrative Q. 12 specialist position with the City of Austin? 13 Α. City of Austin employment web site. 14 Q. And had you been looking for a job? 15 Yes, sir. Α. 16 Q. Did you talk to any city employee or official 17 about the job before you applied for it? 18 Α. No, sir. 19 Q. Were you acquainted with Karen Kennard before 20 you came to work for the City? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 How? Q. 23 Α. I met her with -- through my wife. 2.4 What does that -- I don't know what that means. Q. 25 I met her at a function. I don't -- don't Α.

person.

Α.

1 Q. Temp full? 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 Q. And so it's just the five of you? 4 Yes, sir. Α. 5 So at least generally speaking, when someone Q. 6 wants to request records from the City of Austin, you 7 receive that request; is that right? 8 MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form. 9 MR. ALESHIRE: You can explain your 10 objection. 11 MR. COPPOLA: He can answer. 12 MR. ALESHIRE: Well, go ahead and explain 13 your objection, and I'll try to clean it up. 14 MR. COPPOLA: Sure. I think the question 15 is vague. 16 0. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Okay. Is there another 17 department other than the PIR Team that receives 18 requests for information for records from the City of 19 Austin? 20 No, sir. But departments can receive requests, Α. 21 and then they forward them to us. Employees can receive 22 requests, and they give them to us through either an 23 interoffice mail, email, hand-deliver. 2.4 Q. Okay.

Citizens are able to, you know, do the same

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- thing by email, by mail, hand-deliver. Everything -- all requests have to be in written form. There's no verbal requests.
- Q. And so no matter where it's actually received the process for the City of Austin is it should come to your team?
- A. The process is that the request should be forwarded to us, yes.
- Q. Okay. And then you pick it up from there and handle the processing of the PIR?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Other than eventually receiving, being the central reception for PIRs, and then managing the processing of the PIRs, is there anything else -- any other kind of duty you have that isn't incorporated in that description?
- A. I go out and train single point of contacts.

 There's a training component to it for the system itself and our general PIR process.
- Q. Okay. Has your job performance been evaluated since January of this year?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. When?
- A. I don't recall. I would say March or April.
- 25 Q. Okay. Was it a written evaluation?

- A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. Had you received any criticism of your performance from city administrators this year?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What was it?
- 6 A. Verbal.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

17

- Q. Okay. What was the criticism?
- A. I'm trying to think how that was worded, "You need to manage staff better."
- Q. Okay. And was it -- was that related to some incident?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- Q. Was it related to a particular employee?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Who was the employee?
- 16 A. Carmen Malone.
 - Q. And what was it that they felt like you needed to correct in terms of her actions?
- A. Her processing of requests or she enters requests.
- Q. Okay. Were any of those requests the -- what
 I'll call the Brian Rodgers PIRs that are the subject of
 this lawsuit?
- 24 A. No, sir.
- 25 Q. What was it that she had done or not done that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

21

22

24

25

raised the concern for city administrators?

- A. It was just the speed of how she was entering.
- Q. Can you explain that better? I don't understand?
- A. We get certain number of PIRs per day, and we thought that they were not getting there as quickly as they should be.
- Q. Okay. And so we understand that under the Public Information Act you are under some time constraints -- a time challenge to get a response back out and/or request an Attorney General's opinion within 10 working days correct?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Go ahead.
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And so was that late entry of the PIRs by

 Ms. Malone causing a compliance problem with the TPIA?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 MR. COPPOLA: Object to form.
- 20 A. No, sir.
 - Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Okay. Have you received any other criticism of your performance this year?
- 23 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Have you received any praise for your performance from city administrators this year?

1 A. No, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Is there a single person at the City whose job it is to make sure the City complies with the TPIA?
 - A. Can you please ask that again?
- Q. I said, is there a single person at the City whose job it is to make sure the City complies with the TPIA?

MR. COPPOLA: And, again, I just want to note for the record that this is outside of Mr. Del Bosque's -- the way he's been designated to speak for the City, and any responses he would be speaking from his personal knowledge.

MR. ALESHIRE: Okay.

- A. I do not believe there's one single person that is responsible for that.
 - Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) It's not you; is that right?
- 18 A. I don't think so.
 - Q. Okay. By that answer, are you suggesting that there are multiple people whose job it is to make sure that the City complies with the TPIA?
 - A. Can you repeat the question?
 - Q. By your answer that it's not you and that you don't think there's a single person whose job it is to ensure compliance with the TPIA, are you suggesting by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

that that there are multiple people who have that job or that no one has that job?

- A. I don't think it's the latter. I do not know the answer to that question.
- Q. Okay. So if someone asks you who's in charge of making sure the City complies with the TPIA, your answer would be?
- A. Ultimately I think it would be the -- again, I don't know how to answer that question.
- Q. Okay. Can you describe how the PIR Team is organized in the City's administrative structure?
- A. Are you asking where the PIR Team is in the hierarchy -- or, let's say, organizational chart?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. We fall within the Law Department.
 - Q. Okay. And who do you report to?
- 17 A. Carla Scales.
- 18 Q. And what is her title?
- A. Chief administrative officer of the Law Department.
 - Q. Is she an attorney?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Has that been the administrative location for the PIR Team since you came to work for the City?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Does the -- do you or the PIR Team have any authority over the single point of contact personnel in the operating departments?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. You obviously work with them, but they don't work for you. Is that fair to say?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. What software does the City use for its email system?
 - A. Microsoft Outlook.
- Q. Okay. If city employees or officials use their personal email accounts to conduct city business, how are those records obtained by the City for possible disclosure by the TPIA?
- A. There's an administrative bulletin that covers city employees that says any business you do on personal devices must be forwarded to the City of Austin email account -- your -- their City of Austin email account.
- Q. And would you agree that whether or not that's complied with could affect your ability to fully comply with the TPIA?
 - MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.
 - A. It could.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Because if you go operate your PIR search system, you wouldn't find those if

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they're not in the city system, correct?

- A. I'm not searching individual employees' emails.
- Q. Right. But if the PI -- if the PIR system that you have searched only the city servers --
 - A. We --
- Q. -- and the employee -- an employee had conducted city business on their personal email and not yet forwarded it into the City, you would be missing some -- potentially missing some public records --

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) -- right?
- A. Potentially if they're not forwarded.
- Q. Right. Since you've been a city employee, have you used your own personal email account to conduct business?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Since you've been with the City, has the issue of employees or officials using their personal email account and not forwarding it into the city servers been an issue in your work?
 - A. No, sir.

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Other than the City having a possibly that city business should be conducted on City computer servers, how does the City ensure that there

2.4

aren't records responsive to a PIR that are only on a city employee's or an official personal email account?

- A. Please repeat the question.
- Q. Other than the City having a policy that City business should be conducted on city computer servers, how does the City ensure that there aren't records responsive to a PIR that are only on a city employee's or an official's email account?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

A. The administrative bulletin instructs city employees to forward all city business they have on their personal devices or personal email accounts to their City of Austin email address.

MR. ALESHIRE: Yeah.

- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) But to the best of your knowledge, are you aware of any actions that the City of Austin takes to make sure that policy is being compiled with?
 - A. I'm not aware.
- Q. Okay. And does the city policy also cover text messages that city employees might send from their personal phones?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And are you aware of how those text messages make their way into the city system and where they're

kept?

2.4

- A. I am not.
- Q. Okay. So if you got a PIR today for a copy of any text messages sent about city business by someone, how would you find that text message?
- A. Well, I wouldn't be looking for it. The request would be forwarded to that department. That department SPOC would reach out to -- if it was a specific employee to say please forward the information you have. If it's a text message that was on a personal device, by the administrative bulletin they are supposed to forward that information to their City of Austin email account.
- Q. And does that become operative only after they get a public information request for the text?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- A. Again, the administrative bulletin instructs city employees to forward their -- any city business that was done on personal devices to the City of Austin email accounts -- their City of Austin email account.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) My question is one of timing. Are they required to do that when a public information request -- when the scope of a public information request would include that text, or are they supposed to do that even before a PIR is received?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. They're supposed to do it before a PIR is received. They're supposed to do it when it happens, I believe.
 - Q. When the text happens?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. In regard to Mr. Rodgers'
 PIRs that are at issue in this lawsuit, do you know if
 any city officials or employees were asked to check
 their personal emails accounts for records that would be
 responsive to those requests?
- A. So I'm answering again not as a city designee for the two items that you asked for, so no, sir.
- Q. Okay. To the best of your knowledge, no one was asked?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. I want to turn now to the PIR computer system. I understand that there is a computer program provided by Lockheed Martin Desktop Solutions called the IQ PIR Tracking System; is that right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Can you generally describe what that computer program is, what the major features of it are, how it's used?
- A. We --
- MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

2.4

- A. We use it to track requests. We use it as a central hub for requests. A request is received by the City. It is entered into the IQ system, and then we assign departments for that request. And the system sends out notifications to those departments about the request.
 - Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Now --
 - A. And also --
 - Q. Go ahead.
- A. Didn't mean to interrupt. The system also takes in requests from the web portal -- from the City of Austin web page. You're able to electronically submit a request, and that request is submitted from -- like basically it goes in the email -- or from the web. It goes directly into the system.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And then once it's in the system we get notified, and then we begin assigning departments.
- Q. Okay. And there's a term I've seen used, "workflow," right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. In the context of the IQ system, what does that mean? What is workflow?
- A. Workflow is the flow -- the flow of the work itself within the request. So the -- an action would be

we assign departments. We put a workflow note that says we assign the following departments. If a department has some information on the request, they'll make a workflow note.

- Q. Okay. I believe in one of -- in a couple of Mr. Rodgers' requests he asks for a PIR processing log, and the City has responded and provided logs. I can show you an example of -- it's an exhibit that's marked 1B and ask you if -- is that what you're referring to as workflow?
- A. We refer to this as the workflow notes, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Does the IQ system contain information about types of requesters, such as general public media, law firm, corporate, city employee, other?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What's the purpose of that clarification of requesters?
 - A. Just a designation.
 - Q. Why is a designation used?
- A. I do not know. It was a part of the original system when I first showed up.
- Q. Okay. Does the type of requester that is indicated in the IQ system affect how the PIR is processed?

A. No, sir.

- Q. Does the system contain or assign a priority number to PIRs?
 - A. Explain.
- Q. Is there any kind of priority assigned to a PIR when it's received --
 - A. We do not --
 - Q. -- in the IQ system?
- A. We do not assign priority numbers. We do not assign priority numbers to a PIR. The system, I believe, has -- because it is an off-the-shelf system that was semicustomized by Lockheed Martin for the City of Austin, I think they have priority set, I believe, CTM when they were installing it and were designing it set, I do believe, at priorities of 9, I believe. I can't remember, but it's just a -- it is just a number.
 - Q. So all PIRs have a priority number 9?
- A. I don't -- I don't know what the number is. I have to go back and look. But there is no -- I believe there may be a number attached to some PIRs, but not -- there's no significance to it to us.
 - Q. Okay. And do they all get the same number?
- A. I believe so.
 - Q. Okay. The system also has an option to note that a PIR is Hot Topic or Media Related; is that

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

- A. Yes.
- Q. And why does that -- why is that designation applied to a request, if you know?
- A. I do not know. I mean, the media request is just so that we have to coordinate with PIO.
 - Q. Okay. What about Hot Topic?
- A. Again, that was part of the original system, and I think it was just transferred over when they were setting up the current system.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of PIRs being categorized as Hot Topic?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you know for sure one way or the other whether requests receive the designation of Hot Topic or Media Related?
- MR. COPPOLA: Objection.
- 18 A. Repeat the question because I'll answer
 19 outside --
 - Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) I'll break it up.
- 21 A. -- outside --
 - Q. Are you aware --
- 23 A. -- outside of being --
- Q. Are you aware one way or the other whether request PIRs are designated in the IQ system as Hot

Topic?

2.4

- A. No, sir. I have not instructed the folks myself or Desta Walker or Carmen Malone to designate a PIR Hot Topic.
- Q. Do you know if anybody else is able to designate a PIR as Hot Topic?
 - A. Not within the system.
- Q. Let me ask you the same question about Media Related. Do you know one way or the other whether any requests are designated in the IQ system as Media Related?
- A. No, sir. We -- I, again, have not instructed the staff to designate those as media requests. The only thing that happens is that when the requester is, say, a media person we will go through and check off that it's a media request, I mean, on the options of media, general public, city employee, other, law firm.
- Q. Okay. So the type of requester is used in the system, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know, if any, of the requests from Mr. Rodgers that is the subject of this lawsuit received a type of requester?
- A. It received a type of law firm.
 - Q. Is that because the requests were forwarded

from me?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And, again, does that -- I want to make sure that whether that designation of a type of requester, law firm, affect how the PIR is processed.
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. And just to wrap up this last topic about Hot Topic or Media Related, are you pretty sure that those designations are not used in the system -- in the IQ system?
- A. I'm trying to think on the entering part. I know that when I enter PIRs -- when I enter requests I don't -- I don't -- I don't think I've ever had a choice to make -- or made that choice.
- Q. You're not prompted to make it as best you know?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn now to how -- once the PIR is received what occurs next. When a PIR is received, is every city office notified that the PIR has been received?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Then how is the decision made as to which city offices to contact that may have responsive information?
- A. We make that decision.

Q. Who's "we"?

- A. The PIR Team.
- Q. How do you go about doing that?
- A. Take a look at the request. Some requests are straightforward, "I would like all information from Watershed Protection Department." Some ask for all information on certain topics. We will do searches within the City's web -- web page to see if that topic comes up or see what departments come up or see what departments may be affected, or if that topic shows up in multiple departments, then -- then we make that decision. Then we also rely on departments to say that issue or that request needs to also go to these two other departments or one other department.
- Q. Okay. And, if you can, you might slow down just a little bit.

So one thing your team does to figure out where to refer the PIR is to enter a topic on the City's web page and see which departments -- or a topic or a term and see which departments pop up on that search?

- A. Well, we do research. So that's one of the ways we do research.
- Q. Okay. What other ways do you determine which departments to receive the PIR?
 - A. We have a book that has all the departments and

2.4

all their areas that they cover. We refer to that sometimes.

- Q. What -- is there a name for that book?
- A. It's just pages for every department. It's -there are pages that outline each department and their
 responsibilities.
- Q. If I needed to refer to that book in the future, how would I refer to it?
- A. It's not -- it's not really a book. It's just pages in a notebook.
 - Q. How would I refer to that notebook?
- A. I don't have a name for it.
- Q. Do I understand correctly this is a notebook that contains information about each city department and what subject matter might be related to that department?
- A. It's a -- it's taken -- it's a -- it just outlines like who the department head is, you know, what their -- you know, some of their sections are. I believe they were -- it was made from the City of Austin web site. Someone put it together. I mean, basically it was making copies of each page from the web site.
- Q. It's just I've seen on the city web site that there's sometimes department directory kind of information. Is that what you're referring to? It shows sections and who may be the people?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- A. Yes, sir. It may not be the same because the one I have is from a year ago.
- Q. How else do you do research to try to figure out where to send the PIR?
 - A. We will sometimes ask the legal staff.
- Q. So whoever is referring out the PIRs needs to have a pretty good understanding of what each department does, right mand how that department might be relevant to the PIR?
 - A. We have general knowledge.

- A. We have general knowledge.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) But is it your PIR Team that is solely making the decision about which departments to send the PIR to?
- A. I do not believe we are -- I mean, we are not the solely -- we take -- we do research, and then we accept guidance and -- from the departments once we -- if we send it to Department A and Department A said it needs to go to Department B or maybe you should add a Department B, then we rely on their expertise, also.
- Q. But as far as those referrals are concerned, they're done by your PIR Team?
 - A. The initial one, yes, sir.
 - Q. Is there someone else that has the authority to

forward the PIR to a team without coming back through you?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Would you agree that if a PIR is not routed to a department that actually has responsive records there's a good chance the requester will not receive all the public information he has requested?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- A. Repeat your question again.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Would you agree that if a PIR is not routed to a department that actually has responsive records there is a good chance the requester will not receive all of the public information he has requested?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

A. I'm answering that not as the City's designee.

I agree if a department doesn't get it that request

might include that department, yes.

MR. ALESHIRE: Yeah.

Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) That was the premise, that the department actually has responsive records, but the PIR wasn't routed to that department. Odds are that all of the records that a requester asks for won't be provided --

- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) -- right?
- A. If it was not sent to that department.
- Q. Right. That's the point. So it's a pretty critical step, is it not, in figuring out who to ask if they have responsive records when a PIR comes in?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

MR. ALESHIRE: What's your objection?

MR. COPPOLA: Calls for speculation.

You're asking him to speculate about what's critical.

MR. ALESHIRE: Okay. I'll stick with the question.

- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) In your role with this City and knowing how records get located and processed through the system, either the AG or to the requester, would you agree that it's a pretty critical step in that process choosing which departments to ask if they have responsive records?
- A. It's part of our process to assess the request and then select the right departments.
- Q. I understand. Is that a critical step if you're trying to accomplish full disclosure?
 - A. I would say it's a step. I mean --
- Q. So going back to your previous answer, are you saying that somehow a requester would end up receiving documents that a department has even though the

department wasn't shown the PIR or wasn't involved in the PIR processing for that request?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- A. Repeat the question, please.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Are you suggesting that somehow a requester is going to -- let me back up.

My question to you is whether it's a critical step in full disclosure of public information choosing which departments to send the request to in the first place.

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Before you came to work for the City of Austin from your job experience, had you had occasion to gain any particular knowledge about each City of Austin department and what they do and what records they might keep?
- A. I'm answering again as not the city designee for the deposition. Repeat the question.
- Q. In your prior job experience before coming to the City of Austin, did you have occasion to gain any particular knowledge about what each City of Austin department does and what kind of records it may have?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Is there any different process for routing a PIR to the city manager about a process or -- let me

just -- let me withdraw that.

Is there any different process or criteria that you use to decide whether or not to send a PIR to the city manager?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. What about to assistant city managers?
- A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- Q. Have you received any particular instructions about when to forward a PIR to a -- an assistant city manager?
- A. No, sir.
 - Q. Have you been instructed to limit how often or what kind of PIRs you forward to the city manager or to assistant city managers?
- 15 A. No, sir.
 - Q. And same questions for the mayor and council:

 Are there limits on when you forward or don't forward a

 PIR to the mayor and council?
- 19 A. No, sir.
 - Q. So if in your determination they might have records, you forward it?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. You put them on the workflow; is that right?
- 24 A. We add them as departments or offices, yes.
 - Q. So their SPOCs are tagged in the IQ system?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. Now, when it goes to council, though, is it -- well, let me ask a preliminary question. Does the mayor have an SPOC?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Do each of the councilmembers have SPOCs?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. When it is apparent to you, you have decided that a PIR might include records that would be housed by the mayor and council, do you forward that to their SPOCs?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. How does CMT come involved when it has to do with the mayor and the council emails, for example?
- 15 A. CTM.
- 16 Q. CTM. I'm sorry. Yeah.
- A. When there is a request for email records from
 the city council, we assign that request to CTM security
 to search for email records.
 - Q. Okay. So when emails are requested, you don't send it to the SPOCs for the mayor and council?
 - A. No. They are included, also.
 - Q. Okay. You send it to both?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Okay. For requests that involve records that

1 0. Do you know if all of the SPOCs that are 2 currently designated have taken that training? 3 (No audible response.) Let's narrow it down, and let's say -- let's 4 Q. 5 start with the mayor and council. Have all the SPOCs 6 for the mayor and council taken the training? 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 How about for Parks? Q. 9 Yes, sir. Α. 10 Purchasing? Q. 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 Real Estate? Q. 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 Are there SPOCs designated for the city Q. 15 manager's records? 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 How about for the assistant city managers? Q. 18 Yes, sir. Α. 19 For all of them? Q. 20 Yes, sir. Α. 21 Is there one SPOC for all of the assistant city Q. 22 managers, or do they each have one? 23 Α. They each have one. 24 Okay. When emails are requested that may be Q. 25 within the records of the city manager, who searches for

those emails?

- A. Someone in their office. We send the request to the single point of contact in that office, and then I'm not -- I don't know who pulls what because that's left up to each office and department.
- Q. Okay. I may have misunderstood what an SPOC does. An SPOC is a single point of contact. But are you saying that that SPOC person whose had this training may or may not be the person who actually searches for the records?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Well, are the people who search for the records subject to any mandatory training about the TPIA or how to search for records or relevant things to doing that search?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Has the process for routing or processing a PIR changed with the new city council from what it was prior to January of this year?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Where are the -- let's confine this to the mayor and council -- to the current mayor and council. Where are their emails housed? Where are they found?
- 24 A. They're in a cloud.
 - Q. Are they in the cloud so that they are all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

simultaneously searchable?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And CTM can do that?
- A. Yes, sir. CTM is tasked -- CTM security, that group is tasked with searching for emails.
- Q. Okay. What about emails of the city manager and the assistant city managers? Where are -- the emails for that group, where are they found?

MR. COPPOLA: Again, this is --

THE WITNESS: Outside my --

MR. COPPOLA: -- outside of his

designation, but he can answer if he knows.

- A. I would think within their systems. I don't know -- I don't know if their information is set up the same way as council's. I don't believe it is.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Is that something you need to know in order to give training or advice about how to look for information that might be responsive to a PIR?
 - A. I wouldn't think so.
- Q. Okay. Do you give training or advice to other city employees about how to search for responsive records to a PIR?
- A. In what context? I mean, please ask -- I mean, please give me your question again.
 - Q. Well, when you receive a public information

request, say, for the city manager and ACMs, assistant city managers, do you make any suggestions to them about how to search for responsive records?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. To SPOCs in other operating departments of the City, do you make any suggestion to them about how to conduct the search of records in that department's --
 - A. No.
 - Q. -- files?
- 10 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Are the emails of the operating departments of the City other than the city council and the city manager in a cloud?
- A. I'm answering on my general knowledge. I don't believe so.
 - Q. For example, with Parks, do you know how -- where their emails are housed?
 - A. I do not know.
- Q. Do you know if they have one server or multiple servers for Parks, for example?
 - A. I do not know.
 - Q. So if emails about a prominent issue are requested -- I believe the term I've seen in the IQ system is a multidepartment request, say, involving all council offices, Purchasing, Parks, Real Estate, for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

example -- do you know how many different computer servers must be searched to locate responsive emails?

- A. In my general knowledge, no.
- Q. Who at the City is tasked with reviewing or determining whether or not a search was adequate to discover responsive -- potentially responsive records?

- A. I know of no one.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Are you -- do you have a computer server that you use for your own office?
 - A. Explain "server."
 - Q. Well, do you have a computer terminal?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is it hooked to something?
 - A. General knowledge is it's hooked to the City of Austin email servers -- or a server.
 - Q. Okay. And so are you familiar whether or not there are consolidated servers or servers in one place as opposed to in each office that the email system uses?
 - A. I do not know.
 - Q. On your own computer, have you noticed that there is more than one drive? Are you familiar with the term "drive" --
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- on your computer? Okay. What drives are on

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. I do not -- do not know what the E drive is for. The L drive is log specific, and I believe the M drive is miscellaneous. And that's my general knowledge of designation. No one has ever come to me and said these drives are designated for this and this.
- Q. Do you know whether council offices are set up any differently in terms of which drives they have on their computers?
 - A. I have no knowledge.
- Q. Okay. If you want to save a document to your local drive, to your C drive, are you able to do that?
- A. I save documents in my Document folders. I'm not a computer expert or technician. So when I save it, I'm assuming it's on my drive -- or it's on my computer and I can go back and get to.
- Q. Well, can the -- can other people see what's on your C drive, your local drive, remotely be able to look at that?
 - A. I do not know.
- Q. Okay. In your Outlook, you have boxes, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You have an Inbox?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

1 0. You have a Sent box? 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 Ο. You have a Delete box? Yes, sir. 4 Α. 5 You have a Junk box? Q. Yes, sir. 6 Α. 7 When a PIR is received for emails, do you know Q. 8 whether all those boxes are searched? 9 MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form. 10 MR. ALESHIRE: Go ahead and explain. 11 MR. COPPOLA: PIR to who? You've already 12 talked about council's are searched one way. You've talked about --13 14 MR. ALESHIRE: Okay. My question is 15 limited to PIRs. 16 0. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) When you get PIRs for 17 emails, do you know if all the boxes -- Outlook boxes for the relevant department are searched, Inbox, Sent 18 19 box, Junk box, Delete box? 20 I can't speak to each of the departments or how 21 they're searching for emails. It is my understanding that there's -- they are searching those boxes. 22 23 Do you know one way or the other whether the Q. 24 Delete box is routinely searched by CTM or SPOCs or

other designated persons to see whether or not there's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

responsive records in the Delete box when there's a request for emails? Do you know one way or the other?

- A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. Do you know who would know?
- A. Are you talking for a specific request, in general.
 - Q. Mr. Merritt would know, would he not?
 - A. I don't know that he would.
- Q. Well, doesn't his department do the searches for the councilmembers?
- A. For council. He searches council.
- 12 Q. Right.
 - A. Council only.
 - Q. So would he know whether or not when he's conducted searches in the past, including searches relevant to the PIRs in this lawsuit, whether he searched only some of the Outlook boxes or he searched all of them? Do you know whether he would know that?
- 19 A. He would --
- 20 **Q.** Okay.
- 21 A. -- because he --
 - Q. But you don't know whether those -- the Delete box is searched, for example?
- A. For which search?
- 25 Q. For email searches.

A. For whom?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. For a PIR that asks for emails.
- A. I cannot say --
 - Q. For --
 - A. For a particular department?
 - Q. Yeah, for any department that has emails in Outlook in various boxes whether or not, for example, they search the Delete box. Do you know one way or the other whether they're searching the Delete box?
 - A. I do not know one way or the other.
 - Q. You do not -- if I understood your answer to my question before about who is in charge of making sure the searches are done right, if I understood this right, you said you didn't believe there was anybody that had that responsibility; is that right? You certainly don't?
- 17 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Does the City have a policy that you're aware of about purging emails from employees' Outlook?
 - A. Explain.
 - Q. Well, does the City have a policy about purging or deleting emails about --
 - A. Each --
 - Q. -- city business from employees' computers?
- 25 A. Each department, I believe, has a retention

- schedule, and it's up to each city employee to follow that retention schedule.
- Q. So if an email is of a character that needs to be kept for two years, the policy is you shouldn't delete it?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know -- is there a central IT division with the City?
- A. Communications/Technology Management is the IT Department.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. There are several departments -- there are few departments that have their own IT department.
 - O. Which one --
- A. I believe it's Aviation, PD -- APD, Austin

 Police Department. I'm not sure. There may be another.
- Q. Okay. For the departments that use the central CTM system, do you know whether they back up Outlook for those departments that use that system?
 - A. I have no direct knowledge.
- Q. Okay. Do you know whether the -- you said the council emails are in the cloud, but for other operating departments owner the city manager and the ACMs -- which you said you don't know how they're setup. But for the other operating departments, do you know if the emails

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

are retained locally in the department or do they also exist on CTM's central processors?

- A. I have no knowledge.
- Q. To your knowledge, is there anyone at the City whose job it is to make sure that individual employees do not improperly delete emails?
 - A. Repeat the question again.
- Q. To your knowledge, is there anyone at the City whose job it is to make sure individual employees do not improperly delete emails?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. You don't know if there's anyone?
- 13 A. I do not.
 - Q. Okay. When a PIR is referred to a single point of contact, do you know how that person or whoever else is assigned in the department goes about searching for the relevant records to that PIR?
 - A. I do not. Each department handles their requests within the department.
 - Q. So let's say we got a single PIR and it's asked for a subject matter that extends across multiple departments. Is the search criteria that's used in each department the same, or do you even know?
 - A. I have no knowledge.
 - Q. So is it possible that each department might be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

22

23

using different search terms to locate responsive records?

- A. It's possible.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) You're not aware of any training program or guidelines, suggestions, anything that those searchers, I'll call them, in those departments might understand how to accurately locate potentially responsive records?
- A. Not that I -- no, sir.
 - Q. Okay. When a department responds through the IQ system and reports back to your office about what they've done with the PIR, do they report to you what search they made?
- 15 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Do they report to you what computer equipment they searched?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- Q. Do they report to you what drives they searched?
- 21 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Do they report to you what Outlook boxes they searched?
- 24 A. No, sir.
- 25 Q. Do they report what search criteria they

used --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. No, sir.
- Q. -- for that search? If not, then how do you or anyone at the City know that a proper and complete search for responsive records was conducted?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- A. The Department's SPOC is tasked with providing the information, and they provide what they find.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) But to the best of your knowledge as the public information manager, they aren't provided any particular training about how to search for those records to the best of your knowledge?
 - A. Correct.

MR. COPPOLA: Bill, whenever you're ready. We've been going at this for about an hour and a half, whenever you want to take a break.

MR. ALESHIRE: We can do that now.

MR. COPPOLA: Okay. Great.

MR. ALESHIRE: Off the record.

(BRIEF RECESS)

Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Let me go back on a couple of things. I had asked you earlier about the -- in the IQ system whether, P-I-R-s, PIRs were classified as Hot Topic or Media Related.

MR. ALESHIRE: If we need to make this an

exhibit, we can, but we can decide.

MR. COPPOLA: Let me see it, yeah.

- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) I'm going to show you a document and ask you if you recognize what that is -- you're welcome to flip through it if you want to -- if you've seen that before.
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What is it?
 - A. It's an older PIR tracking system document.
- 10 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 11 A. And this is from the Legacy system.
- 12 Q. As opposed to --
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. -- the system you use now?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. So is this training material not current?
- 17 A. This is not because this is the Legacy system.
- Q. Okay. And so if you'll notice on that screen on Page 5 of the document --
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- it indicates that there's a -- on the page for entering a new PIR that there is an option for Hot Topic or Media Related, correct?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. That does not appear on your screen now; is

that correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

- A. I believe so. Again, I -- I enter PIRs daily, and I -- I never pick out a Hot Topic or Media Related. So I don't believe that was transferred.
- Q. Okay. So you don't think that's even on the current screen?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. What is -- what's the --
- MR. COPPOLA: Let's go ahead and make this an exhibit.
- MR. ALESHIRE: Okay.
- MR. COPPOLA: We don't need to make copies
 of it. Let's put a sticker on it, and that way we know
 what we're talking about.
- MR. ALESHIRE: Okay. Let's mark this as 16 6.
- 17 (At this time, an instrument was here
 18 marked for identification as Del Bosque
 19 Exhibit No. 6.)
 - Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) What is the document -well, let me ask this: Is there a document -- a
 training document for the IQ PIRs system?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does it have a title that's different than the title on Exhibit 5 -- 6, I mean?

- "Quick Reference Guide." 1 Α. 2 "Quick Reference Guide"? Q. 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 Okay. And is there something that's not a Q. 5 quick reference guide but a more thorough training 6 document? 7 Α. For the IQ system? 8 Q. Yes. 9 We have a PIR tracking manual that was put Α. 10 together by CTM and Lockheed Martin. 11 PIR tracking guide? Is that what you said? Q. 12 MR. COPPOLA: I think he said manual. 13 (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Manual? Q. 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 I'm sorry. Do you know if it has the same or Q. 16 more pages as Exhibit 6? 17 How many pages -- how many pages is this, 60? Α. 18 Q. Just approximately, I mean. 19 It has 26, I believe. Α. 20 26 pages. Okay. Do you know if you've Q. 21 received a PIR for a copy of the IQ training material?
- 23 Q. Recently?

Α.

Yes.

2.4 Α. Yes.

22

25 Q. Did you provide that PIR tracking manual? A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Have you had occasion since you've been in your job of seeing responsive documents provided to a requester that included text messages?
 - A. I can't recall.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall getting any requests that included text messages?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. But you don't recall whether any text messages were actually provided back to the requester or requesters?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Have you heard concerns from people that they are doubtful the City is locating and producing all the responsive information the City has even when the City claims it has produced all responsive information to a PIR?
 - A. Is the question --
 - Q. Have you heard that concern?
 - A. From who, direct requests?
- Q. Requesters, from anyone that they are doubtful as to whether the City is finding all the records and producing them.
- A. I may have had a few emails concerning that.
 - Q. Okay. Have you heard anything like that

recently?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

14

15

16

17

21

22

- A. Yes.
- O. From whom?
- A. I can't recall the lady's name. I just saw one a couple days ago. It was actually an email sent to a department.
 - Q. And this woman was a requester?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And she expressed doubt as to whether all the records had been provided to her?
- 11 A. She's more concerned that a record that should 12 have been provided she had a copy of wasn't in the 13 records.
 - Q. Okay. Have you seen public comments recently by Councilmember Greg Casar expressing concern about whether the City has always provided all the responsive records?
- A. I saw an Austin Monitor blurb, or whatever
 they -- they're the little pop sheet, whatever they call
 it.
 - Q. Whispers?
 - A. There you go.
 - Q. And do you recall what he said?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Was he making reference to his past before he

2.4

became a councilmember and expressing concern or doubt as to whether or not the City had provided all the responsive records?

A. I can't remember.

MR. ALESHIRE: Make this one 7.

(At this time, an instrument was here marked for identification as Del Bosque Exhibit No. 7.)

Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Now, I'm going to hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 7 after I let your attorney take a look at it, and I'll represent to you that I -- it's back and front, by the way. But I'll represent to you that I copied that story off of the Austin Monitor within the last week, maybe even within the last few days. And you might take a look at it and see if that's the story that you were referring to a moment ago from the Austin Monitor.

MR. COPPOLA: And I'll just ask, is -that handwriting and the highlighting, is that yours?

MR. ALESHIRE: It's my work.

MR. COPPOLA: Okay.

MR. ALESHIRE: And so that was presented to him that way. He did not -- Mr. Del Bosque received it with the markings on it.

Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) And I think if you'll look

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

down toward the bottom of that first page, Councilmember Casar was quoted, and I'll give you a chance to read that.

- A. It's highlighted.
- Q. You want to read that out loud just so it's clear on the record?
- A. "Casar said he was less concerned with the city intentionally holding back documents and more troubled that the response team appeared not to be doing adequate digging."
- Q. Have you heard anyone else express that kind of concern about whether the searches were adequate?
 - A. No.
- Q. Have members of the news media ever said to you since you've been in your job that they doubted whether or not they had gotten all the records they had asked for that the City has?
- 18 A. I can't specifically speak to one.
 - Q. Okay. When is the last time you, other than this lady that you were referring to, heard that complaint or that concern?
 - A. Maybe a couple of weeks ago.
 - Q. Okay. From a member of the news media?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Do you recall who or what outlet?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- A. The Austin Bulldog, Ken Martin.
- Q. Okay. To your knowledge, what, if anything, has the City done to increase the certainty that it has produced or at least located for a review all responsive information in its PIR system?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- A. Repeat the question, please.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) What, if anything, has the City done to increase the certainty that it has produced or at least located for review all responsive information to a PIR?

MR. COPPOLA: Same objection.

- A. I don't know what the City has done for that.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Okay. You're not aware of anything?
 - A. I'm not aware of anything.
- Q. If the City had some kind of effort or procedure it was engaged in to increase the accuracy of searching for responsive documents in the PIR system, would you likely know about it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Let me give you this hypothetical: Suppose that a department has very embarrassing documents that they have that are responsive to a PIR, but the department personnel doing the searches does not want to

produce those documents or even admit that the document exists. So they respond to your office that they have no responsive records. How would they ever get caught doing that?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection; calls for -- I'm sorry. Objection, form.

- A. My only knowledge of it, that their response to us was they had no responsive information. So I would have no way of knowing. We rely on the departments.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) If someone complains to you and says, "Wait a minute. I'm sure that there are some records there that they've not given us," does your office become involved in the searching?
- A. We would go back to the department and ask them to re-search.
- Q. Is there any kind of doubling checking done on a routine basis to ensure compliance with the TPIA as far as locating and supplying public information?

- A. No.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Since you've been at the City, has the City conducted any kind of TPIA compliance audit, some research or project to see if the TPIA is being complied with and to see if the city personnel, officials and employees, are complying with the records

2.4

retention laws as well as the TPIA?

- A. To my knowledge, the first part, no. retention -- as far as retention of records, the city clerk.
 - Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) The city clerk does what?
 - A. Is responsible for record retention.
- Q. Okay. What does the City -- to your knowledge, what does the City -- what has the city clerk done since you've been here that you're aware of to make sure that records are being properly retained?
- A. Other than sending out their bulletins, I have no knowledge of anything else.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if the city clerk has done anything to make sure that city employees do not delete emails in violation of the records retention laws?
- A. I do not.
 - Q. I want to turn now to -- well, let me ask you this because this is an important -- we've gone from how a request is received and then farmed out to how responsive records are searched for. And I've asked you very specific questions, but I don't want to be unfair to you or to the City about this.
 - If there is any other feature you're aware of in the IQ PIR system or generally in the City's PIR

system where concerted efforts or double-checking is engaged in to try to make sure that responsive records are found, I want to give you an opportunity to let me know. If my questions have kind of carved out and not let you point out something that indicates the City has a good system or checks to make sure it's in compliance for searching for responsive records, I want to give you an opportunity to tell me that.

- A. Each department is tasked with making a good-faith effort to search for the records that are asked and appeared, and we receive those records. And if the department doesn't send them out, we send them out.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Okay. Other than the mayor and city council where TPIA security does their searching for emails, are you aware of any other departments under -- including the city manager or under the city manager, where anybody else other than department personnel themselves are searching for the records?
 - A. I do not, no.
- Q. All right. I want to turn now to how information is provided to the requesters. Okay. First of all, in the way the system is supposed to work -- the

2.4

IQ system is supposed to work, who provides information to the requester -- responsive information to the requester?

- A. Currently departments are tasked with providing responsive information.
- Q. Do they let your PIR Team know what information they have provided?
- A. They will make it work, saying they provided information to the requester, and are supposed to attach that information to the system.
- Q. Okay. And with regard to the Brian Rodgers PIRs involved in this lawsuit, was that done?
- A. I can't speak to each specific PIR without looking at the records.
- Q. Okay. We'll do that here in just a minute.

 Once a PIR is processed, is the City able to determine what information it provided to a requester?
- A. If the department has attached the information to our system -- to the -- to the IQ system, we -- we will have that record. Some departments will say we provided -- the information is too large to fit in the system or we have it on our -- they're on internal drives.
- Q. Okay. But one way or the other, you'd be able to locate the information that was provided to the

requester?

- A. We should be able to.
- Q. Okay. What if -- I've seen this happen before. What if a requester asked for a copy of everything the City had provided another requester? Could the City produce those records again?
- A. If the departments attached that information to -- if it was currently to the IQ system, we'd provide those records, and if there was a notation saying the department said, "Hey, we have this information on their drive," we'd go to them to get it.
- Q. Okay. In the case of the multidepartment PIR, under your current procedures, does your PIR Team provide directly any responsive records to the requester?
- A. We will provide the city manager's office to include the assistant city managers and city council records.
- Q. Okay. So if I understand correctly, back to my previous question, the -- if the request involves records from the -- emails only or any records from the mayor and council?
- A. Any responsive information that comes from mayor and council, we will send out.
 - Q. And the same is true for the CM and the ACM?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. CM -- the city manager's office to include the assistant city managers, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So councilmembers would not under normal procedure directly send responsive records to a requester?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the city manager nor any of the ACMs would not normally send any responsive information directly to a requester?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Those would all come back through your office?
- 12 A. Correct.
 - Q. Why is that process different for the city manager and council than it is for the operating departments?
 - A. It's just something we've done.
 - Q. But the system is set up where -- the IQ system is set up where it all could come back through the single portal of the PIR Team, correct?
 - A. Through the system or -- we don't use the system to send out information. We send it out by email. So we don't -- the system is set up to do that, but we don't use it for that --
 - Q. Okay.
- 25 A. -- purpose.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So there is a -- I don't know if you call it module or a piece of the IQ tracking system that is actually for the outgoing response. Correct?

 A. Correct.

 Q. But you -- the City doesn't use that?
- Q. Okay. So on a side process, you get the information and email it out?
- A. We take the information from the system that's been attached by the departments and then send it in an email.
- 12 **Q.** Okay.

Α.

Correct.

- 13 A. The system itself, we try to do it, and it did
 14 not work --
 - Q. Okay.
- A. -- did not work efficiently for us, I would say.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- A. We had trouble with how letters were being processed out with the email.
 - Q. Okay. And is that something Lockheed Martin has been asked to fix?
 - A. I would have to ask the CTM person.
 - Q. Okay. Have you been asked to collect all of the records the City provided to Mr. Rodgers for the

PIRs at issue in this lawsuit?

MR. COPPOLA: I'm going to object and ask -- based on the attorney-client privilege ask -- instruct Mr. Bosque not to respond to the extent there is a privilege.

Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) I'm not asking you to get -tell me anything an attorney gave you as advice. This
question is real clear, and it doesn't involve
attorney-client privilege. And I'm willing to go over
to the court if we have to to get the answer.

Have you been asked to collect all of the records the City provided to Mr. Rodgers for the PIRs at issue in this lawsuit? That's a yes or no answer.

MR. COPPOLA: It's the same objection, and it does involve attorney-client privilege possibly or a work product privilege. And he can answer to the extent that it's not related to instructions his attorney gave him with respect to the conduct of the litigation.

Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) The -- I would not have even known if you had gone ahead and answered this question whether your attorney had asked you to collect these records or not. And so I'm asking you without regard to any advice that you got from your attorney -- any legal advice you got from your attorney or anything about strategy in this lawsuit. That is not within the scope

of this question. This is a straight-up yes or no answer question.

Have you been asked to collect all records that Mr. Rodgers was provided by the City on the PRIs [sic] that are at issue in this lawsuit?

MR. COPPOLA: Well, then I would like to go off the record to consult with my client, then, about whether there's a privilege.

Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Are you refusing to answer the question?

MR. COPPOLA: No. I get to go off the record and talk to my client about a --

MR. ALESHIRE: I don't want you to talk to your client in the middle of a question.

MR. COPPOLA: No. We get to consult about privilege. That's what the rules allow. We get to have that consultation.

- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Have you -- let me ask you this question: Have you collected together all the records the City provided to Mr. Rodgers in response to the PIRs at issue in this lawsuit?
 - A. I would have to look at each PIR.
- Q. If you looked at each PIR -- each of the four PIRs, would you -- how would that -- how would you know whether you've collected all the records or not?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

- A. I would look at the notes.
- Q. And if the notes indicated that a department had directly provided information to Mr. Rodgers, what would that indicate to you in terms of this question, have you collected them together? Do you know what Mr. Rogers was provided? How would that -- how would it help you to look at that -- at the log -- the workflow?
- A. To know whether the department has provided the information.
- Q. Okay. But if since the workflow was created and you have collected records that were provided to Mr. Rogers, you would know that, right?
 - A. Repeat, please.
- Q. After the workflow, if since then you have collected the records that were provided to Mr. Rogers, you would know whether you had collected them or not, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
 - Q. Have you collected them?
 - A. To my knowledge, yes.
 - Q. Thank you. I'm going to hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 1A and ask you to take a look at that and tell me if you recognize what that is.
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. What is it?

- A. It's a request that Mr. Rodgers submitted through your office for emails from downtown Austin and Let's Go Austin and Austin Chamber --
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

- A. -- and J-W, J. Nais.
- Q. And then there's a clarification on the last page --
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. -- as to the dates --
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. -- the time period in which those records were requested; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Now, I'm going to hand you what has been marked as -- and we can keep that one there, if you want, and I'll take 7 back. The court reporters love it when we keep our exhibits in order.

18 THE REPORTER: Uh-huh.

- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) I'm going to hand you what has been marked as 1B and ask you if you recognize what that document is.
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What is it?
- A. It should be the workflow notes for this request.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q. Okay. And I'm showing that this got -- that your PIR No. 809158 is related to what was attached to the lawsuit petition as Plaintiff's Exhibit P-1 which has now been marked as Exhibit 1A for your deposition. So I, too, believe that this is workflow notes for this PIR.

Looking at the request in the workflow notes, can you tell me when the request was received?

- A. 4-23-2015.
- Q. And can you tell me when the records -- responsive records were provided?
 - A. There's not an indication.
- Q. So do you know if the records requested in Exhibit 1A have been provided to the City?
- A. ACM Edwards' information and ACM Lumbreras' information was forwarded to you. It's not on -- it's not on the workflow records.
- Q. My question was when.
- A. I don't know when it was. I'd have to look at email records.
 - Q. Okay. Was it after the last entry on this workflow?
 - A. It would have been.
 - Q. Okay. And what is the date of the last entry on the workflow?

- A. July 21st.
- Q. 2015?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. At the time this lawsuit was filed -- and I'll represent to you that it was June 11th, 2015, is it true that the City had not produced all public records that were responsive to Mr. Rodgers' public information request identified in the lawsuit petition?
 - A. In regards to this one?
- Q. In regard to all of the PIRs that are involved in the lawsuit, is it true that as of June 11th when the lawsuit was filed the City had not produced all of the public records that the City had that were responsive to Mr. Rodgers' public information request that are identified in the lawsuit and petition?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So at the time the City filed its answer to the lawsuit on July 6th, 2015, by that time had the City voluntarily produced all public records that are responsive to the public information request identified in the lawsuit petition?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. In fact, you can look at that example, can you not, that's 1A and 1B log and see that by July 6th the City had not provided any of the records requested on

April 23rd in Exhibit 1A?

A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. You can review again, if you need to, the scope of the request found at 1A, or the DAA correspondence, and let me ask you if you know whether the City has by today produced all the public records that are responsive to Mr. Rodgers' public information request identified as Exhibit 1A.
 - A. To my knowledge, yes.
 - Q. Who searched for the records to respond to 1A?
- A. For Assistant City Manager Edwards, Jessica
 Bluebird; for Assistant City Manager Arellano, Roxanne
 Evans; for Assistant City Manager Lumbreras, Jason
 Garza. For the previous mayor and council, CTM did the
 search, and we had various people go through it.
- Q. Who is Clayton Hoskinson? It's H-o-s-k-i-n-s-o-n.
 - A. He is on the CTM security team.
- Q. Okay. And the fourth entry down on Exhibit 1B indicates -- am I reading this correctly -- that he was responsible for completing the search of the council records for Request 1A?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know if the current mayor has access to the email files of former Mayor Leffingwell?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

- A. I don't believe so, not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. Do you think of any reason why he wouldn't?
- A. To my knowledge, I don't -- wouldn't know why he wouldn't -- would or would not.
- Q. Okay. Now, this entry indicates that the -well, would you explain what that entry about the CTM
 search is telling us? I see that it actually has search
 terms that CTM used, right --
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- which are the same terms that are provided in this request? This request is a little different than some of them that you've received, right --
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. -- because it's very specific what to search for, is it not, in a certain period of time?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Does that make it easier for the City to search for the records when you can narrow it down the way it is in this request?
- 21 MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.
 - A. For CTM, I mean, it's -- they take a request and read it and provide their search terms. You provided -- to Mr. Rodgers' request provided search terms and a date range.

- Q. So if this request had come in and said give us all the correspondence that occurred between the Downtown Austin Alliance and the Austin City Councilmembers, City Manager and ACMs for a certain period of time, that would have been easier to search for than this?
- A. I don't know exactly what you're trying to say by "easier." CTM has a program -- a searching program to go through the emails for council.
- Q. Okay. And so did they search the email files of the current mayor and council according to this log?
 - A. They did.
- Q. Okay. And that occurred before you received the clarification the next day indicating that they didn't need to search after January 1st?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. But they had searched the previous mayor and council email files?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Why was this PIR closed out, if I am correct in interpreting the last entry, if the responsive information had not been provided to Mr. Rodgers at that point?
- A. It was inadvertently closed out by Ms. Vierra
 because she --

Q.

A. Correct.

- Q. The same day the request came in, the documents -- responsive documents were located?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. In this system, who's responsible, then, for providing those records to the requester?
- A. For previous mayor and council, it was my responsibility to go through those.
- Q. Okay. And is there any information you can glean from this or that you otherwise personally have to explain why that wasn't done?
- A. I got confused with the multiple requests and thought it was different and didn't realize that it had mayor and council.
- Q. You mean you looked at the workflow notes here and you don't think it had mayor and council on it -- or I'm not understanding -- or ACM? I believe you testified earlier that if it's a city manager or ACMs or council the response comes back through your department; isn't that right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And let me just keep this simple. I'm not trying to browbeat you. Just do you know why specifically Mr. Rodgers did not receive the -- a response to this request until after he filed the

lawsuit?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. I do not know specifically.
- Q. You are able to tell from the CTM entry on the workflow what searches were done and in what files, such as current mayor and council or previous mayor and council. But can you tell that level of detail or you otherwise know what searches were done with the city manager and ACMs?
 - A. Not at that level.
- Q. Okay. Not that level of specificity? Is that what you mean?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. All right. With regard to any of the Brian Rodgers PIRs at issue in this lawsuit, is the workflow, what we call the processing log, what's called workflow, the only written communication about the PIRs that would occur between the PIR Team and the department SPOCs, for example?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. You wouldn't ever outside of the workflow send an email to an SPOC about a PIR, or vice versa, where there would be emails in addition to workflow --
- A. There may be.
- 25 Q. -- about processing the PIR?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. There may be.
- Q. Okay. Let's start with this one, the DAA request, Exhibit 1A. Have you searched -- well, let's go back. Have you searched for emails with SPOCs or with council, CTM, to see if there was any other email correspondence about this PIR process?
 - A. I have not.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you now what has been marked as Exhibit 2A -- actually I'm going to hand you first what has been marked as Exhibit 2B, and I'm going to hand you what has been marked as 2C.

Okay. Would you take a look at that and tell me what 2B is and then tell me what 2C is?

- A. This is the Decker Lake Golf PIR and --
- THE REPORTER: Excuse me?
- 16 A. It is the Decker Lake Golf PIR.

MR. ALESHIRE: Golf.

18 THE WITNESS: Golf.

- A. And in this -- I'm not guessing. I'm thinking that you've matched them up right, that this is the PIR for it.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) I am told that 806377 is equal to P-2 -- Exhibit P-2 which is -- I haven't handed it to you. This is the cover for what went in front of this (indicating).

1 MR. COPPOLA: Can we go off the record for 2 a minute on this? 3 MR. ALESHIRE: Okay. 4 (BRIEF RECESS) 5 (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Okay. You have in front of Q. 6 you now, Mr. Del Bosque, Exhibit 2B and 2C, correct? 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 Okay. I'm going to go ahead and hand you --Q. 9 and I hope this doesn't get too confusing. 10 going to go ahead and hand you 2A and see if you can 11 identify that document. 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 What is that? Q. 14 That is a request made concerning this request Α. 15 (indicating). 16 Q. Okay. So 2A is a PIR for the workflow. It's 17 not worded that way, but that's what --18 Α. Correct. 19 -- it is, right --Q. 20 Α. Correct. 21 -- of what occurred in processing the PIR that Q. 22 is Exhibit 2B? 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 Okay. And Exhibit 2C is the workflow that's Q. 25 responsive to the request that's been marked 2A?

A. Correct.
 O. Okav. S

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

22

- Q. Okay. So we can look at 2C and see what happened in responding to the contract negotiation material request that is 2B; is that right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Can you walk us through what that -- it looks like that it was -- this was Monday, March the 16th, was the date of the email request, and it was at 2:48 in the afternoon, right --
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- the original request? And it looks like it was logged into the IQ system on the 18th; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Is that normal procedure, take about that long --
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. -- to get it in?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- Q. How long does it take to get it into the system?
 - A. Usually the same day.
- Q. Okay. And then I see those other entries. It was sent to -- what is FSD-Purchasing?
 - A. Finance Services Department-Purchasing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discovered?

Q. Okay. And PARD being the Parks Department and the Law Department? Α. (Nods affirmatively.) Ο. And then was it ever sent to any other departments? Α. No, sir. Well, how would you know, for example, if there Q. was -- look at Item No. 1 in the request. If there was any correspondence, say, between an assistant city manager and representatives of Decker Lake Golf, based on your workflow, how would those records have been

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Do you understand my question?
- A. I do understand your question. If we didn't assign it to the City Manager's Office to include the assistant city managers, we wouldn't know.
- Q. Why would you not check with the city manager or ACM -- the City Manager's Office in the most general sense to see if they had any correspondence with Decker Lake Golf regarding the terms of the proposed contract?
- A. Because it was a contract, we sent it to Purchasing, and we sent it to the department that was the lead on the purchase -- or who we believed would be

2.4

the lead on the purchasing, which is Parks. And we sent it to Law because there were attorneys involved.

- Q. But is there some good reason why you would think that the city manager wouldn't even need to check to see if there was correspondence between the City Manager's Office and the proponents?
 - A. Repeat the question.
- Q. Is there a good reason why you would think there's no way there's correspondence there? This didn't -- this was a very broad request. It didn't ask for just Purchasing; it didn't ask for just PARD. It says any correspondence -- a copy of any correspondence, email or paper between any official, employee or attorney of the City of Austin and any officer, employee or attorney of Decker Lake Golf, LLC regarding the proposed terms of the contract.

Are you able to sit here today and assure me that there is no such correspondence in the City
Manager's Office?

- A. To my knowledge, no. I cannot assure you of that.
- Q. Okay. Because nobody asked, right? Nobody asked the CM?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. The last entry on here is May the 8th. This

request was submitted on March the 16th, and that's an entry by you indicating that responsive information was sent to the requester by the Purchasing Department, right?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. That was on May the 8th. Is that time frame from March the 16th through May the 8th typical of how long it takes to get responsive records of this nature?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Is it shorter or longer than it would -- you would expect it to take?
- A. Well, in this respect, the note was just made on that date. Responsive information was released on April the 8th from Purchasing and the message from PARD on April 14th on whether or not PARD released that information. And then it was --
- Q. What are the odds, do you think, that this log is not complete and that, in fact, there were -- there was multiple times when records were received by me or Mr. Rodgers from Purchasing and it was determined that it still wasn't complete?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- A. I can't say for certain without looking at maybe the department PIRs, also.
 - Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Okay. But would you -- in

order -- if I receive responsive information, I can't
enter anything into this workflow, right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. So I would send an email back and say, "Hey, I don't think I got everything." And from the emails that you can see in your own email account, you would see that there's discussions going on with you, Desta Walker, maybe people from Law Department, from Purchasing, from PARD saying I think there's records that are missing here, and then we would be told, in fact, there are some records.

Do you recall seeing a point in time concerning this request (indicating) where Terry Nicholson indicated that he did have some additional records that had not been produced up to the point it says in here that Purchasing delivered their records, that he had additional records -- he had some other place he was searching for records and he would get them and then he would supply them and he did supply additional records?

- A. I don't know that I have -- that I've seen an email with that. There may be an email. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. But you don't see anything on this log indicating --
- A. No, sir.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22

23

2.4

- A. I did not mean to interrupt.
- Q. Okay. Now, this request of April 13th that is marked as Exhibit 2A, it didn't just ask for what we are now calling workflow. It also asks for any correspondence, other than attorney-client privilege, that was sent or received from city personnel regarding the processing of this request, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know whether a search was made to see if there is any such correspondence?
 - A. I'd have to look at the notes.
 - Q. Well, are the notes you're referring to 2C?
- 14 A. I'm sorry.
- 15 Q. I mean, this is asking for correspondence --
- A. So this is -- this is -- these are the notes

 for the original request. The notes for this request

 are -- they're not here. So, I mean, I'd have to look,

 but I believe we went out -- we asked the departments

 that were affected to say please provide the emails back

 and forth.
 - Q. Well, this is -- your office is affected by Item No. 2?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. We ask -- it asks for correspondence basically

Q.

1 between you and your office and the SPOCs --2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 0. -- and the other departments --Yes, sir. 4 Α. 5 -- right? Q. Yes, sir. 6 Α. 7 So if you sent or received emails -- your team Q. 8 were sent or received emails about how the original 9 request was processed --10 Α. Correct. 11 -- you would have that outside the workflow, 12 right, sent or received emails where it wasn't --If we did. 13 Α. 14 Right. So my question to you was, have you Q. 15 looked in your email files for correspondence between 16 your team and the operating departments about how 2B was 17 processed? 18 I believe that we did. Α. 19 You did look? Q. 20 I believe that we did. Α. 21 Okay. Would there be a record of that? Q. It would be -- there should be a note in the 22 23 workflow notes for that PIR to say what we found or didn't find. 2.4

I'm getting confused again. For which number

Yes, sir.

Α.

1 PIR are you referring to? 2 Α. So it would be 808377. 3 0. But isn't 808377 the notes for how 806377 was 4 processed? 5 Α. So this document (indicating) is responsive to 6 a copy of --7 You're holding up -- just for the record, Q. 8 you're holding up 2C? 9 Yes, sir. Α. 10 Ο. 2C? 11 Yes, sir. So 808377, this document, is Α. 12 responsive to a copy of a record showing which city -was transmitted for the purposes of determining -- yeah, 13 14 for this one -- for this request (indicating). 15 For 2B? Q. 16 Yes, for 2B. Α. 17 Q. Well, I know it's a little confusing. But 2A asks you for records about how 2B was processed --18 19 Α. Correct. 20 -- right? Q. 21 Α. Correct. 22 And so my -- and it didn't ask you just for a Q. 23 log. It asked you for any correspondence between your 24 office --

- Q. -- and the other --
- A. Departments.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- offices that were involved in processing the original request?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. If that was handled by email and not entered on the workflow, you would have to go search your email file?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And did I understand your answer to be that you think you did that?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. But you don't know whether there's a record of that anywhere, that search?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. So the department is without -- the City is without the ability to determine whether you've searched for Item 2 on Exhibit 2A, the second part of --
 - A. There's not a log. I mean, I don't -- Desta and myself and when -- I mean, there's not a log to say on this date we searched for this --
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. -- and we went out and said -- I said we need to check these for that PIR.
 - Q. You have a specific memory of actually going

1 into your email file and looking for those records? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Do you have a specific memory of doing that for 0. 4 the other requests for PIR log information that's 5 requested? Α. 6 Yes. 7 Q. How long ago was that? 8 Α. I can't recall. 9 Was it after the lawsuit was filed? Q. 10 Α. I can't recall. 11 Q. But you have a specific memory of when you --12 Α. I know that --13 -- did it? Q. 14 I know that we were searching for them, yes. Α. 15 And you don't know if it was a month ago, two Q. 16 months ago? 17 Cannot --Α. 18 Q. Okay. 19 Α. -- say. 20 Okay. I'm going to hand you now in a set what Q. 21 is marked as Exhibit 3A, 3B and 3C and ask you if you 22 can identify those documents. 23 MR. COPPOLA: Bill, if we can, just before we start on this one, it's about noon, and I guess I'm 24

curious to know if you want to take a break -- well, I'd

```
like to take at least a bathroom break, but I'd like to
1
 2
     know if you want to take a lunch break.
 3
                   MR. ALESHIRE: If you're okay and if
 4
     you-all are okay, we can go on because I'm not --
                   MR. COPPOLA: Yeah.
 5
                   MR. ALESHIRE: This is the last phase of
 6
7
     what I've got. So if we want to take a quick break, we
 8
     can do it, but then I don't have to go break and come
 9
     back after lunch, if that's okay with you-all.
10
                   MR. COPPOLA: It's my preference to get
11
     through it if you have a reasonable amount.
12
                   MR. ALESHIRE: Okay.
                   MR. COPPOLA: But let's take a bathroom
13
14
    break right now.
15
                   MR. ALESHIRE: Okay. Let's -- we'll go
16
     off the record right now.
17
                   (BRIEF RECESS)
18
         Q.
              (BY MR. ALESHIRE) All right. Mr. Del Bosque,
19
     you have in front of you now what has been marked as
20
     Exhibits 3A, 3B and 3C. Can you look at that and tell
21
     me what those are?
22
              So 3A is a request on the -- for the processing
23
     records for the Bull Creek property, 3B is the request
24
     for the Bull Creek property, and 3C is the log for the
25
     request --
```

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. -- on the Bull Creek property.
- Q. Okay. And the request is dated March 16th; looks like Desta Walker logged it in on the 17th of March, correct?
 - A. Correct. So it's --
 - Q. Who what is ORES, the second entry?
 - A. Office of Real Estate Services.
- Q. Okay.

(OFF THE RECORD)

- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Now, this -- the Request 3A for the log, or the workflow, is exactly the same as the one we were just talking about on 2A, right? I mean, it's substantively asking for the same information?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. It's asking for the log information and asking for correspondence?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. The -- and the original request was -- didn't -- if you'll look at 3B, the request was for all correspondence or notices sent or received between any official, employee or agent of TxDOT and any official, employee or agent of the City of Austin regarding the availability of or potential sale of the state land along Bull Creek Road identified in the map above.

Now, was this request only sent to Real Estate and to Law, this PIR?

- A. It looks like it was sent to City -- it looked like it was sent to the City Manager's Office and associated ACMs.
- Q. Okay. And from this can you tell when the request was fulfilled?
 - A. 4-24-15.
- Q. Okay. And is that about five weeks after it was received?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you -- does that length of time for a response to this request meet your standards of what you expect the City to be able to do?
- A. For this request, my standards are -- I don't know that I would have standards for this request. It was -- again, we're at the -- we send out requests to the departments, and then we rely on the departments to get us back the information.
- Q. Is there any policy or expectation the City has about how long it would take for the City to provide responsive records to a requester?
- A. Ten days -- within 10 business days, notwithstanding, you know, it's going to take longer.

 Departments are still processing information. Someone

100 may be out, you know, whatever the case may be. 1 2 Q. And this took about 40 or so, right? 3 Α. (No audible response.) 4 Q. This took about 40 days or so, right? 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 Q. All right. I hand you now what has been marked 7 has 4A, 4B -- 4B is two pages -- and 4C, and I again ask 8 you if you can identify those records. 9 Are these together (indicating), right? Α. 10 Q. Yes. 11 This is -- is this the one you refer to Α. Okay. 12 as the genesis. 13 Q. Yes. 14 The one prior to the RFQS of April, whatever --Α. 15 May 19th, whenever that was. 16 Q. Yeah. 17 MR. COPPOLA: Bill, I'm sorry. I lost a 18 page on the copy. It's not -- that's for you. He's got 19 the full thing. 20 MR. ALESHIRE: Okay. 21 MR. COPPOLA: You may have to --22 MR. ALESHIRE: I've got them. 23 MR. COPPOLA: Okay. You've got it. That's fine. 24 25 Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Okay. So you agree that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

101

Exhibit 4B is the request dated March the 19th from Mr. Rodgers for correspondence that occurred prior to the issuance of the Decker Lake RFOS --

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- between city personnel and Decker Lake Golf personnel generally; is that right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then on April 13th a request marked 4A was the same kind of request for how the original request had been processed, and Item 4C shows how it was processed, right?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. The request shows that it was dated

 March the 19th and entered into the IQ system by you on
 the 22nd of March, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And the -- at the top, it shows it was sent to the Law Department, Parks, CMO, which would be the City Manager's Office, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And CTM -- what's MAC?
- 22 A. Mayor and council.
 - Q. Mayor and council to search for their records as well. And that would be the old council, right, considering the time frame?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. Do you know if any records were located from the old council's files?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And this log indicates that those are provided to Mr. Rodgers or to me?
- A. Well, there was no responsive documents for that.
- Q. Oh, they were searched, but they didn't find any?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 **Q.** So --
 - A. No, no. They were -- they found some, and I went through them; and there was nothing that was responsive to your date.
 - Q. What time frame did they search, or can you tell?
 - A. It said no date range. So I'd have to go look -- go back and look to see what the search range was, I mean, as far as from the first email to the last email that was provided by CTM security.
 - Q. Okay. And, again, CTM shows what the search terms are, but none of the other departments indicate in the workflow what terms they searched for?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And if I understand your prior testimony, you don't have any way of knowing what search terms the CMO used?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, the first entry indicates that the City Manager's Office was entered. Can you explain the second to last entry on this workflow dated 4-28?
 - A. We added ACM Edwards to the request.
- Q. Well, would ACM Edwards not have been notified based on the first entry that was sent to the CMO, to the City Manager's Office?
 - A. I just sent that to City Manager Ott's office.
- Q. Okay. So if the workflow indicates that it was just CMO, that was not sent to the assistant city managers?
 - A. It was not.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. I just sent it to the City Manager's Office. I can't think why did not add them or -- I can't give you my thought process on why I did not include them.
- Q. Were you aware that this in particular revolved around a very controversial issue that was pending before the Austin City Council about converting parkland to golf course?
- A. I was aware.

- Q. Okay. You were aware that it was controversial?
 - A. I was aware that it was controversial.
- Q. Okay. Do you know why it took from March the 22nd to April the 28th, again, five weeks or so, for Assistant City Manager Edwards to even be asked whether she had documents responsive to the request marked 4B?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you recall seeing correspondence during that period of time from me pointing out that we're pretty sure Sue Edwards had been involved in the discussions that are relevant to the request for the genesis PIR?
 - A. I can't specifically.
- Q. Does -- in your mind, does this serve as an example of what can happen in terms of getting responsive information if the departments that might likely have responsive documents are not sent the PIR?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

19 A. Generally speaking, yes.

MR. ALESHIRE: All right. I have just a few more questions. Do we need to break or anything we need to talk about?

MR. RODGERS: I may have one, but I can probably show it to you.

MR. ALESHIRE: Okay.

2.4

MR. COPPOLA: Why don't we just take a quick break, if we can, because I want to ask him something, too.

MR. ALESHIRE: Okay.

(BRIEF RECESS)

Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) For this last set of the questions, if you need to look back at these logs or any other exhibits that you need to search through in order to give an answer.

According to my records, Mr. Del Bosque, that we first received responsive documents to the request that is marked as Exhibit 1A for the DAA records. We received the first response we'd ever received on August 11th, according to my records.

First of all, I want to give you an opportunity if you think that's not correct and you need to look at. Then let me know. But I think we've already discussed the fact that apparently that --

- A. That sounds about right.
- Q. The lawsuit was filed on June the 11th of this year. Prior to filing that lawsuit, do you recall seeing emails from me pointing out that records had not been received -- let's just focus on the DAA request -- that we had not received responses on the DAA request?

 Do you recall seeing emails from me to the City to that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

effect prior to the lawsuit being filed?

- Α. I can't recall.
- Do you recall seeing emails from me prior to 0. the lawsuit being filed warning that we were going to file the lawsuit if the records were not provided?
 - I can't recall. Α.
- Did you ever hear from Ms. Walker or anyone Q. else on your staff that they had received an email from me warning that we were going to file a lawsuit if the responsive records were not provided?
 - Again, I cannot recall. Α.
- Okay. So if you received a letter from a Q. lawyer threatening to sue the City for nonresponsive actions under the TPIA, would that catch your attention?
- Α. Yes, sir.
 - 0. Okay. So when you say you don't recall, are you saying you didn't get those emails?
 - I'm not -- I'm not saying I did not get them. Α.
- 19 Q. Okay. But they wouldn't make an impression on you that you would remember today?
 - Again, I cannot recall, and I would think they Α. would have made an impression.
 - Q. Okay. So were you just shocked by -- to see that the lawsuit was filed on June the 11th?
 - Α. I wouldn't say I was shocked.

- Q. Why would you not be surprised? The lawsuit alleged that your department, in essence, representing the processing for these PIRs, had failed to provide records that Mr. Rodgers had requested. So did that --did you -- when did you find out about the lawsuit?
 - A. I would think in June. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. And to the best of your recollection sitting here today, you don't recall ever seeing any warning from me preceding June 11th by weeks that a lawsuit would be filed if the records were not provided? Sitting here today, you don't recall receiving any such warnings from me?
 - A. I don't. I don't recall.
- Q. But you do recall sometime in June -- and you don't know a more specific date than that -- you found out about this lawsuit, right?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. Did you read the lawsuit petition?
 - A. I read through it.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall seeing the allegation that no response was provided at all to the DAA records request?
 - A. I don't specifically.
- Q. Okay. It was -- as you can see there, it's marked -- it was Plaintiff's Exhibit 1. But you don't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

108

recall seeing that allegation in the lawsuit when you read it?

- A. I don't recall. I may have.
- Q. Okay. And I want to make sure that you understand I'm not asking you about any visits or conversations you had with the city attorneys about that lawsuit. But what action, if any, did you take after you read that lawsuit?
 - A. Nothing specifically.
 - Q. You didn't go looking for the DAA records?
- 11 A. Not that I recall.
 - Q. When did you discover that the City had not provided any of the records requested in what has been marked Exhibit 1A?
 - A. It would have to have been after that time period.
 - Q. It would have been after June 11th?
- 18 A. I believe so.
 - Q. Well, do you know when you realized that -when did you discover the mistake that that PIR had not
 been provided -- that responsive documents had not been
 provided?
 - A. I cannot specifically say to a date.
 - Q. Well, how close can you come?
- 25 A. I don't know that I can come close.

Q. Why not?

- A. I just don't.
- Q. Do you think that mistake is not a big deal or it's a big deal or what? I mean, we're talking about somebody submitted an open records request and got no response back except warnings about that, filed a suit about that, and you can't recall when you realized, "Wait a minute. We actually didn't get those records out."

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- A. I specifically cannot.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Well, can you generally? I mean, you already said you thought you read the lawsuit somewhere in June. Was it July or August before you went to see what happened to those DAA records, or is that something you more timely reacted to in June?
 - A. I would say it would be in June.
- Q. Okay. So you knew in June that the records responsive to that DAA request had not been provided to Mr. Rodgers, correct?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- A. Again, I cannot say specifically what date.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) But are you sure it was June?
- A. I can't say specifically.

Q. Okay. So the City got sued for not turning over records, and you're not sure when you went to see whether or not those records were actually turned over or not?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) You can't give me any general idea even when did you go back and check whether or not the allegations in this lawsuit were true?

MR. COPPOLA: Same objections.

- A. Again, I would say I cannot specifically say a time frame.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) You can't even say a time frame, not June or August or --
 - A. Oh, well, I would say sometime in June.
- Q. Okay. There were -- the allegation in the lawsuit was that there were two other processing logs that we discussed today that also were not provided, that only one of the processing logs -- well, actually three processing logs were not provided. Do you recall that allegation?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. You don't recall from your reading of the lawsuit that it alleged that we'd asked for processing logs, as I think they were referred to your requests, what we now know are work flows. Only one was

2.4

provided, but three others were not. That's what the lawsuit said. Does that refresh your memory of what the lawsuit said?

- A. I may have read it.
- Q. Okay. And when you were reading a lawsuit and it says requester did not receive responsive documents to this request, requester did not receive documents to this next request, to this next request, to this next request -- there was four of them in this lawsuit -- is that not cause for you to take more immediate action to see whether those allegations are true?
- A. Again, I looked at it, but it was being handled by the Law Department.
- Q. But the issue of whether the records were provided or not, is there anybody in the City who would be in a better position than you to know whether, in fact, the records were provided?
- 18 A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. So you're telling me that you don't recall after having read the lawsuit sometime in June actually going out and doing research yourself or providing information to show whether or not, in fact, the records had been provided?
 - A. I know that we started working on them.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

112

- A. I can't say specifically when.
- Q. Okay. And did you ever tell anyone that all the records had been provided?
 - A. Not specifically.
- Q. Okay. Well, what does that mean, "specifically"?
 - A. Well, repeat your question.
- Q. Did you ever tell anyone that all the records -- let's put it this way: Did you ever tell anyone prior to July 6th of this year that all the records had been provided to Mr. Rodgers or to me?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And on what basis prior to July 6th -- between July [sic] 11th when the suit was filed and July 11th when the City filed its answer, you told someone in that period of time, I guess, in essence, that our lawsuit is wrong and that all the records had been provided. Is that your testimony?
- A. No, sir.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. It was specifically about one request because I was asked.
 - Q. Okay. Was it the DAA request?
- A. At the time, it was -- I thought it was a
 different request I answered because you -- Mr. Rodgers

had multiple requests.

- Q. Okay. And the lawsuit listed multiple requests that they claim had not been provided --
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. -- right?
 - A. (Nods affirmatively.)
- Q. Okay. Did you ever tell anyone that all the information that Mr. Rodgers had asked for in all of his PIRs had already been provided to Mr. Rodgers between the time of June 11th and July 6th?
- 11 A. I don't recall.
- MR. ALESHIRE: Okay. We'll pass the
- 13 | witness.

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. COPPOLA:

- Q. Mr. Del Bosque, my name is Chris Coppola. I'm an assistant city attorney. I just want to ask you a couple of questions about how the City knows or if it can know what records have been provided to a requester in response to a public information request, and I think Mr. Aleshire asked you some questions in that vein as well.
- Are there situations that you're familiar with where the City does not keep a track -- keep track

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

2.4

25

of what records are provided to a requester?

- A. If the department sends out the information by CD and doesn't keep a copy of the CD, if the department sends out information by email, didn't keep a list of the attachments they sent or what was in the -- if the information is mailed out and a copy wasn't made, and if those items were not attached to the system, then we have no idea.
- Q. And you mentioned that earlier, I think, that typically a SPOC is supposed to attach to items sent out to the IQ system; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And in your experience, does that always happen in response to every request?
 - A. It's not a hundred percent.
- Q. Or sometimes the documents are too voluminous to attach?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And in those cases, might they go out in a CD instead?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And are you familiar in response to any of Mr. Rodgers' requests whether, say, for example, the Purchasing Department may have provided a response on a CD?

- A. I believe so.
- Q. And do you know if the single point of contact with the Purchasing Department has changed within the last year?
- A. The SPOC for Purchasing during the spring is no longer with the City, I believe.
 - Q. And who is that?
 - A. Ashley Sherwood.
- Q. And do you know how to get in touch with Ms. Sherwood?
- 11 A. I do not.
 - Q. So, for example, if Ms. Sherwood gathered responsive information in response to a request and then didn't attach it to the system, is there any way to know necessarily what she provided?
 - A. We wouldn't know unless she forwarded that information to the SPOC that took over for her.

MR. COPPOLA: That's all the questions I
have. I'll pass the witness.

MR. ALESHIRE: I want to follow up a bit.

2122

2.4

25

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

FURTHER EXAMINATION

- 23 BY MR. ALESHIRE:
 - Q. Mr. Del Bosque, are you able to tell us for each one of the specific PIRs which ones you don't have

a copy of the responsive documents that were provided to Mr. Rodgers?

- A. We'd have to go to the attachments page and see what was attached and match it up to the workflow notes to see who sent what.
- Q. Well, the lawsuit didn't allege that every single -- that the City didn't respond to every single request, right? It was only certain requests that the complaint says were missing, correct?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. So, for example, Bull Creek, if the lawsuit hasn't alleged that the City failed to give all the responsive records on the Bull Creek request, does it really matter whether you can -- you've got them all in one place or not?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- A. Repeat the question.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) If the lawsuit didn't allege that the City failed to release all of the Bull Creek records, is there some reason why the City needs to see all the records that Mr. Rodgers has?

MR. COPPOLA: Objection, form.

- A. I can't specifically answer that. I'm not sure what --
 - Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) I mean, can you think of any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

reason why the City needs to have all that back and see all that back?

- A. In regards to?
- Q. The Bull Creek request if the lawsuit hadn't even alleged that there was any Bull Creek material?
 - A. I can't make that speculation.
- Q. Are you able to, and if you need to look through the logs, tell us which requests you may not have records for showing what responsive records were sent to Mr. Rodgers? If you'll do them one at a time, I'm going to make a note as you tell me.
- A. So Request 806377.
 - O. What's the exhibit number?
- 14 A. 2C.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. So Ashley Sherwood provided information via email as well as mailed them on CD to Mr. Aleshire.
 - Q. And you don't have that? Your office -- your PIR team doesn't have that; is that correct?
 - A. I would have to look at the attachment page to see if she attached it, if she didn't -- or the -- yeah, the attachment folder page to see if she attached it to the --
- Q. You can't tell by looking at this whether or not --

A. No, I cannot.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. So you may actually have a copy for all you know?
 - A. We may. I have not looked at it.
 - Q. Okay. And if you didn't find that she had uploaded what she had sent to us -- are you looking at the entry on March 31st?
 - A. April 8th.
 - Q. On 2C?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Well, she released information twice, right, or more, March 31st and April 8th?
 - A. So March 31st she sent information to the Law Department and then --
 - Q. Oh, I see. Okay. All right. And then April 8th she mailed a CD to me --
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. -- according to your log? And you don't know whether you have that -- that CD was uploaded or not?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And do you know for sure whether or not the purchasing office maintained a copy of that CD?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. And this request is related to the
 Decker contract negotiation request. That's Item 2B,

right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. Go to the next one, then, if you -so you think you don't have that CD -- you're not sure
 if you have that CD that's related to the Decker
 contract negotiation?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Now, you didn't tell us anything about the DAA, which is the request, right? Obviously that information was not provided before the lawsuit was filed, right? So that wouldn't be something -- you have all of that, right, the City has?
- MR. COPPOLA: I will stipulate on the record that we have a complete copy of everything provided to that one.
- MR. ALESHIRE: Okay. So that's the first request. Now we have the second request.
- Q. (BY MR. ALESHIRE) Is there anything about the third request that would indicate you don't have a complete log of what was provided? And the third request was Bull Creek, I believe?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Yes, sir. If Office of Real Estate Services --
- Q. And give me a date.

120 I'm sorry. April 24th. I'd have to look to 1 Α. 2 see if she attached the information that she sent you. 3 0. Okay. But this indicates that they sent it via email, right? 4 5 Α. Correct. 6 Q. Well, why couldn't you get a copy of that email 7 if you wanted it? I could. 8 Α. 9 Okay. All right. Anything else? Q. 10 Α. Oh, this one (indicating). I'm sorry. As far as I can tell, that's it. 11 12 So we think -- having gone through all that, we 13 think maybe there might be one CD from Purchasing that 14 you wouldn't have, a copy of what was given to 15 Mr. Rodgers; is that right? Is that what it boils down 16 to? 17 As far as I can tell. Α. 18 MR. ALESHIRE: Okay. I'll pass the 19 witness. 20 MR. COPPOLA: And we'll reserve our 21 questions. Thank you. 22 (Whereupon the deposition concluded at 23 12:48 p.m., Wednesday, August 26, 2015.) 2.4 25

Santos Eloy Bosque, III August 26, 2015

		121
1	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE	
2	WITNESS NAME: SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III	
3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: August 26, 2015	
4	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		122
1	I, SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III, have read the foregoing	
2	deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is	
3	true and correct, except as noted above.	
4		
5		
6	SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III	
7		
8	THE STATE OF TEXAS)	
9	COUNTY OF TRAVIS)	
10	Before me,, on	
11	this day personally appeared SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III,	
12	known to me (or proved to me under oath or through	
13) (description of identity or other	
14	document) to be the person whose name is subscribed to	
15	the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that	
16	he/she executed the same for the purposes and	
17	consideration therein expressed.	
18	Given under my hand and seal of office	
19	this, day of,,	
20		
21		
22	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR	
23	THE STATE OF	
24	MY COMMISSION EXP.:	
25		

```
123
                   CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-15-002291
1
 2
    BRIAN RODGERS
                                  * IN THE DISTRICT COURT
        Plaintiff
 3
                                  * TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
    V.
 4
    THE CITY OF AUSTIN
 5
        Defendant
                                 * 98TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 6
                    REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
             DEPOSITION OF SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III
 8
                         AUGUST 26, 2015
 9
              I, LYDIA L. EDWARDS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND
10
11
    REPORTER in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify
12
    to the following:
13
              That the witness, SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III,
14
    was duly sworn by me and that this deposition transcript
15
    is a true record of the testimony given by said witness;
16
              That the original deposition transcript was
17
    submitted on _____ to the Witness or to the
    attorney for the witness for examination, signature and
18
19
    return to me by ;
20
             That the amount of time used by each party at
21
    the deposition is as follows:
22
             Bill Aleshire
                                   - 2 hours, 52 minutes
             Christopher Coppola - 0 hours, 3 minutes
23
24
              That pursuant to information given to the
2.5
    deposition officer and made part of the record at the
```

124 time said testimony was taken, the following includes 1 2 all parties of record: 3 BILL ALESHIRE, Attorney for PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER COPPOLA, Attorney for DEFENDANT 4 5 That I am neither counsel for, related to, 6 nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the 7 action in which this proceeding was taken and, further, that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the 8 outcome of the action; 9 10 Further certification requirements pursuant to 11 Rule 203 of TRCP will be certified to after they have 12 occurred. 13 CERTIFIED TO BY ME this day of September, 14 2015. 15 16 17 LYDIA L. EDWARDS, CSR 2567 Certified Shorthand Reporter 18 For the State of Texas Expiration Date: 12-31-16 19 JOB 15038 20 21 22 23 24 2.5

City Hall, 301 West 2nd Street, P.O. Box 1546 Austin, Texas 78767-1546

Writer's Direct Line (512) 974-2691

Writer's Fax Line (512) 974-1311

October 9, 2015

Via CMRRR #91 7199 9991 7035 9003 1248:

Shira Sultan
Team Legal
13100 Wortham Center Dr., Suite 140
Houston, Texas 77065

Re: No. 15038 - Deposition Changes and Corrections

Cause No. D-1-GN-15-002291, Brian Rodgers v. The City of Austin; In the 98th

District Court of Travis County, Texas

Dear Ms. Sultan:

Enclosed is the signed and notarized changes and signature page for Eloy Del Bosque's deposition.

Sincerely,

Cathy Curtis, Paralegal to Christopher Coppola Assistant City Attorney

cc: Bill Aleshire, via facsimile

(512) 974-2691

(512) 974-1311

October 9, 2015

Via CMRRR #91 7199 9991 7035 9003 1248:

Shira Sultan Team Legal 13100 Wortham Center Dr., Suite 140

Santos Eloy Del Bosque, III August 26, 2015

- 1		
		121
1	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE	
2	WITNESS NAME: SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III	
3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: August 26, 2015	
4	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON	
5	28 15 No DON'T KNOW CLAAFICAT	(a)
6	28 15 No I DON'T KNOW CLARFICAT 50 3 "LOL" LAW DERT TYPO CURRE	iction
7		
8		
9		
LO		
.1		
.2	·	
13		
4		
.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
.6		
.7		
.8		
20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
21		
2		
3		
3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: August 26, 2015	
4	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON	
5		iou
6	28 15 No I DON'T KNOW CLARFICATE 50 3 "LOL" LAW DERT TYPO CURRY	iction
7		
8		
9		

Santos Eloy Del Bosque, III August 26, 2015

		122
1	I, SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III, have read the foregoing	
2	deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is	
3	true and correct, except as noted above.	
4		
5	Dants Ely Mil Bigne Bl	
6	SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III	
7		
8	THE STATE OF TEXAS)	
9	COUNTY OF TRAVIS)	
10	Before me, Cathy Curhs, on	
11	this day personally appeared SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III,	
12	known to me (or proved to me under oath or through	
13) (description of identity or other	
14	document) to be the person whose name is subscribed to	
15	the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that	
16	he/she executed the same for the purposes and	
17	consideration therein expressed.	
18	Given under my hand and seal of office	
19	this of ay of October, 2015.	
20		
21	City Cluts	
22	CATHY CURTIS NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR	
23	State of Texas } THE STATE OF TEXALS	
3	true and correct, except as noted above.	
4		
5	Donts Ely Mil Bigne IR	
6	SANTOS ELOY DEL BOSQUE III	
7		
8	THE STATE OF TEXAS)	
9	COUNTY OF TRAVIS)	